MOTION FILED
JUL 10 1985

CASE NO. 841993

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
1985 TERM

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BERNARD L. VAN WORMER, DONALD REGAN, RICHARD SMULEVITZ and INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RESPONDENTS

AND

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY, RESPONDENT

ON WRIT OF CERTORARI TO
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

MOTION FOR AWARD OF DAMAGES AND DOUBLE COSTS AGAINST PETITIONER AND IN FAVOR OF THE RESPONDENTS INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RICHARD SMULEVITZ, AND BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY

GLENN R. PATTERSON
SINGLETON, LEVY, CRIST & JOHNSON
9013 Indianapolis Blvd.
Highland, Indiana 46322
(219) 972-2660

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CASE NO. 841993
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
1985 TERM

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BERNARD L. VAN WORMER, DONALD REGAN, RICHARD SMULEVITZ and INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RESPONDENTS

AND

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY, RESPONDENT

ON WRIT OF CERTORARI TO
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

MOTION FOR AWARD OF DAMAGES AND DOUBLE COSTS AGAINST PETITIONER AND IN FAVOR OF THE RESPONDENTS INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RICHARD SMULEVITZ, AND BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY

GLENN R. PATTERSON
SINGLETON, LEVY, CRIST & JOHNSON
9013 Indianapolis Blvd.
Highland, Indiana 46322
(219) 972-2660

CASE NO. 841993
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
1985 TERM

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BERNARD L. VAN WORMER, DONALD REGAN, RICHARD SMULEVITZ and INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RESPONDENTS

AND

JOHN HYDE, PETITIONER v. BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY, RESPONDENT

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

MOTION FOR AWARD OF DAMAGES AND DOUBLE COSTS AGAINST PETITIONER AND IN FAVOR OF THE RESPONDENTS INLAND STEEL COMPANY, RICHARD SMULEVITZ, AND BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY

Come now the Respondents, INLAND STEEL COMPANY*/, RICHARD SMULEVITZ and BLAW-KNOX FOUNDRY AND MILL MACHINERY*/, by

*/ See Appearance of Record of the undersigned counsel for corporation listings pursuant to Rule 28.1.

counsel, pursuant to Rules 49.2 and 50.7 of the United States Supreme Court Rules and respectfully request this Court to enter an award of damages and an award of double costs against the Petitioner and in favor of these Respondents, and in support thereof, states as follows:

1. The Petition for Writ of Certiorari is frivolous within the meaning of that term as used in Rule 49.2 of the United States Supreme Court Rules, and as set forth in these Respondents' Memorandum filed concurrently herewith.

2. These Respondents have suffered damages in an amount equal to all attorneys' fees, and expenses associated with and arising out of the filing of said Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

3. This is an appropriate case for adjudging double costs, for the reasons set forth in these Respondents' Memorandum filed concurrently herewith.

WHEREFORE, these Respondents respectfully request entry of an award of damages and an award of double costs against the Petitioner and in favor of these Respondents.

Respectfully submitted,



Glenn R. Patterson
Attorney for Respondents
Inland Steel Company,
Richard Smulevitz and
Blaw-Knox Foundry and Mill
Machinery

SINGLETON, LEVY, CRIST & JOHNSON
9013 Indianapolis Boulevard
Highland, Indiana 46322
(219) 972-2660